Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

On the universal function for weighted spaces $L^p_\mu[0,1],\ p \geq 1$

Martin Grigoryan · **Tigran Grigoryan** ·
Artsrun Sargsyan

arXiv:1512.07786v2 [math.CA] 19 Apr 2016

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract In the paper it is shown that there exist a function $g \in L^1[0,1]$ and a weight function $0 < \mu(x) \leq 1$, so that g is universal for each classes $L^p_\mu[0,1],\ p \geq 1$ with respect to signs–subseries of its Fourier–Walsh series.

Keywords universal function · Fourier coefficients · Walsh system · weighted spaces · convergence in metric

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) MSC 42C10 · MSC 43A15

1 Introduction

Let $|E|$ be the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $E \subseteq [0,1]$, $\chi_E(x)$ – its characteristic function, $L^p(E)$ $(p > 0)$ – the class of all those measurable functions on E that satisfy the condition $\int_E |f(x)|^p dx < +\infty$, $L^p_\mu[0,1]$ (weighted space) – the class of all those measurable functions on $[0,1]$ that satisfy the condition $\int_0^1 |f(x)|^p \mu(x) dx < +\infty$, where $0 < \mu(x) \leq 1$ is a weight function, and $\{\varphi_k\}$ – a complete orthonormal system in $L^2[0,1]$.

Definition. *Let $0 < \mu(x) \leq 1$, be a measurable on $[0,1]$ function. We say that a function $g \in L^1[0,1]$ is universal for a class $L^p_\mu[0,1]$ with respect to signs–subseries of its*

M. Grigoryan
Yervan State University, Republic of Armenia, Yerevan, 0025, 1 Alex Manoogian
Tel.: +374-10-555240
Fax: +374-10-554641
E-mail: gmarting@ysu.am

T. Grigoryan
Yervan State University, Republic of Armenia, Yerevan, 0025, 1 Alex Manoogian
E-mail: t.grigoryan@ysu.am

A. Sargsyan
Yervan State University, Republic of Armenia, Yerevan, 0025, 1 Alex Manoogian
E-mail: asargsyan@ysu.am

Fourier series by the system $\{\varphi_k\}$*, if for each function* $f \in L^p_\mu[0,1]$ *one can choose numbers* $\delta_k = 0, \pm 1$*, so that the series*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_k c_k(g)\varphi_k(x), \quad \textit{with} \quad c_k(g) = \int_0^1 g(x)\varphi_k(x)dx,$$

converges to f *in* $L^p_\mu[0,1]$ *metric, i.e.*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m} \delta_k c_k(g)\varphi_k(x) - f(x) \right|^p \mu(x)dx = 0.$$

Let us recall the definition of the Walsh orthonormal system $\{W_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Functions of the Walsh system are defined by means of Rademacher's functions

$$R_n(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\sin 2^n \pi x), \quad x \in [0,1], \quad n = 1,2,\ldots,$$

in the following way (see [5]): $W_0(x) \equiv 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$W_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} R_{k_i+1}(x),$$

where $n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \cdots + 2^{k_p} \quad (k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_p)$.

In the present paper the following theorem is proved for the Walsh system:

Theorem. *There exist a function* $g \in L^1[0,1]$ *and a weight function* $0 < \mu(x) \leq 1$*, so that* g *is universal for each class* $L^p_\mu[0,1],\ p \geq 1$ *with respect to signs–subseries of its Fourier–Walsh series.*

Moreover, it will be shown that the measure of the set on which $\mu(x) = 1$ can be made arbitrarily close to 1, and the function $g \in L^1[0,1]$ can be choosen to have strictly decreasing Fourier–Walsh coefficients and converging to it by $L^1[0,1]$ norm Fourier–Walsh series.

Remark. In the proved theorem the weight function $\mu(x)$ cannot be made equal to 1 everywhere in $[0,1]$. Moreover, there does not exist a universal function $g \in L^1[0,1]$ for a class $L^p[0,1]$ for any $p \geq 1$.

It can be easily shown that the assumption of existence of such universal function simply leads to contradiction. Indeed, if that assumption was true, then for the function $k_0 c_{k_0}(g)W_{k_0}(x)$, where $k_0 > 1$ is any natural number with condition $c_{k_0}(g) \neq 0$, one could find numbers $\delta_k = 0, \pm 1$, so that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m} \delta_k c_k(g)W_k(x) - k_0 c_{k_0}(g)W_{k_0}(x) \right|^p dx = 0.$$

Hence, we would simply get $\delta_{k_0} = k_0 > 1$.

Existences of functions, which are universal in different senses, were considered in papers [1], [12], [15], [22]. The first type of universal function was considered by G. Birkhoff [1] in 1929. He proved, that there exists an entire function $g(z)$, which is

universal with respect to translations, i.e. for every entire function $f(z)$ and for each number $r > 0$ there exists a growing sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, so that the sequence $\{g(z+n_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ uniformly converges to $f(z)$ on $|z| \leq r$. In 1952 G. MacLane [15] proved a similar result for another type of universality, namely, there exists an entire function $g(z)$, which is universal with respect to derivatives, i.e. for every entire function $f(z)$ and for each number $r > 0$ there exists a growing sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, so that the sequence $\{g^{(n_k)}(z)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ uniformly converges to $f(z)$ on $|z| \leq r$. Further, in 1975 S. Voronin [22] proved the universality theorem for the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, which states that any nonvanishing analytic function can be approximated uniformly by certain purely imaginary shifts of the zeta function in the critical strip, namely, if $0 < r < \frac{1}{4}$ and $g(s)$ is a nonvanishing continuous function on the disk $|s| \leq r$, that is analytic in the interior, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists such a positive real number τ that

$$\max_{|s|\leq r} \left| g(s) - \zeta(s + 3/4 + i\tau) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

In 1987 K. Grosse–Erdman [12] proved the existence of infinitely differentiable function with universal Taylor expansion, namely, there exists a function $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathscr{R})$ with $g(0) = 0$, such that for every function $f \in C(\mathscr{R})$ with $f(0) = 0$ and for each number $r > 0$ there exists a growing sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, so that the sequence

$$S_{n_k}(g,0) = \sum_{m=1}^{n_k} \frac{g^{(m)}(0)}{m!} x^m$$

uniformly converges to $f(x)$ on $|x| \leq r$.

Note that the Fourier series of a universal function (defined above) is a universal series in corresponding sense.

Many papers are devoted to the existence of universal series (in the common sense, with respect to rearrangements, partial series, signs of coefficients and etc.) in various classical orthogonal systems. The most general results were obtained by D. Menshov [16], A. Talalyan [20], P. Ulyanov [21] and their disciples (see [2]–[4], [6]–[11], [13],[14], [18], [19]).

Regarding to the result of the present paper the following question arises, the answer to which is unknown yet:

Question. Is the proved theorem true for other orthonormal systems (trigonometric system, Franklin system and etc.)?

2 Main lemmas

Let us start from known properties of the Walsh system, which will be used during the proofs. It is known (see [5]) that for each natural number m

$$(1.a) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-1} W_k(x) = \begin{cases} 2^m, & \text{when} \quad x \in [0, 2^{-m}), \\ 0, & \text{when} \quad x \in (2^{-m}, 1], \end{cases}$$

and, consequently,

$$\sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} W_k(x) = \begin{cases} 2^m, & \text{when} \quad x \in [0, 2^{-m-1}), \\ -2^m, & \text{when} \quad x \in (2^{-m-1}, 2^{-m}), \\ 0, & \text{when} \quad x \in (2^{-m}, 1], \end{cases}$$

thus, for each $p > 0$ we have

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} W_k(x) \right|^p dx = 2^{m(p-1)}. \tag{1.b}$$

Let

$$||\cdot||_{L^p(E)} = \left(\int_E |\cdot|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad ||\cdot||_{L^p_\mu[0,1]} = \left(\int_0^1 |\cdot|^p \mu(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $p \geq 1$, $E \subseteq [0,1]$ and $0 < \mu(x) \leq 1$, be the norms of spaces $L^p(E)$ and $L^p_\mu[0,1]$, respectively. Obviously, for any natural number $M \in [2^m, 2^{m+1})$ and numbers $\{a_k\}_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1}$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=2^m}^{M} a_k W_k \right\|_{L^1[0,1]} \leq \left\| \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} a_k W_k \right\|_{L^2[0,1]}. \tag{2}$$

Note also that the basicity of the Walsh system in spaces $L^p[0,1]$, $p > 1$ provides the existence of a constant $C_p > 0$, so that for each function $f(x) \in L^p[0,1]$ the following inequality holds:

$$||S_k(f)||_{L^p[0,1]} \leq C_p ||f||_{L^p[0,1]}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3}$$

where $\{S_k(f)\}$ are partial sums of its expansion by the Walsh system [5].

In the paper we use the following lemma, which was proved in [17]:

Lemma 1. *For each dyadic interval $\Delta = \left[\frac{i}{2^K}, \frac{i+1}{2^K}\right]$, $0 \leq i < 2^K$, and for every natural number $M > K$, such that $\frac{M-K}{2}$ is a whole number, there exists a polynomial in the Walsh system*

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=2^M}^{2^{M+1}-1} a_k W_k(x),$$

so that

1) $|a_k| = 2^{-\frac{M+K}{2}}$, *when* $2^M \leq k < 2^{M+1}$,
2) $H(x) = -1$, *if* $x \in E_1$, $|E_1| = \frac{1}{2}|\Delta|$,
3) $H(x) = 1$, *if* $x \in E_2$, $|E_2| = \frac{1}{2}|\Delta|$,
4) $H(x) = 0$, *if* $x \notin \Delta$.

where E_1 and E_2 are finite unions of dyadic intervals.

One of the main building blocks in the proof of the theorem is Lemma 3 which is proved by the help of Lemma 2.

Lemma 2. *Let $p > 1$, n_0 be some natural number and $\Delta \subset [0,1]$ be a dyadic interval, then for any numbers $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $l \neq 0$ and natural number q there exist a measurable set $E_q \subset \Delta$ with measure $|E_q| = (1-2^{-q})|\Delta|$ and polynomials*

$$P_q(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_q}-1} a_k W_k(x),$$

$$H_q(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_q}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k = \pm 1, 0,$$

in the Walsh system, so that $H_q(x) = 0$ outside Δ,

1) $$0 < a_{k+1} \leq a_k < \varepsilon \quad \textit{when} \quad k \in [2^{n_0}, 2^{n_q}-1),$$

2) $$||l\chi_\Delta - H_q||_{L^p(E_q)} = 0,$$

3) $$\max_{2^{n_0} \leq M < 2^{n_q}} \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k \right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]} < 2^q C |l| |\Delta|^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where C is a constant defined by the space $L^p[0,1]$, and

4) $$\max_{2^{n_0} \leq M < 2^{n_q}} \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} a_k W_k \right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof of lemma 2. The proof is performed using mathematical induction with respect to the number q. Let $\Delta = \left[\frac{i}{2^K}, \frac{i+1}{2^K}\right] \subset [0,1]$. Choosing a natural number $K_1 > K$ such that

$$|l| 2^{-\frac{K_1+1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \tag{4}$$

we present the interval Δ in the form of union of disjoint dyadic intervals

$$\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_1} \Delta_i^{(1)}$$

with measure $\left|\Delta_i^{(1)}\right| = 2^{-K_1-1},\ i = \overline{1, N_1}$. Obviously, $N_1 = 2^{K_1-K+1}$.

By denoting $K_0^{(1)} \equiv n_0 - 1$, for each natural number $i \in [1, N_1]$ we choose a natural number $K_i^{(1)} > K_{i-1}^{(1)}$ $\left(K_1^{(1)} > K_1\right)$ such that the following conditions take place:

a) $\frac{K_i^{(1)} - K_1 - 1}{2}$ is a whole number,

b) $(K_i^{(1)} - K_{i-1}^{(1)}) |l| 2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)} + K_1 + 1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4N_1}$,

c) $2|l| 2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)}+1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

It immediately follows from (4) that

$$|l|2^{-\frac{K_1^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}} < \varepsilon. \tag{5}$$

By successively applying lemma 1 for each interval $\Delta_i^{(1)}$ $(i=\overline{1,N_1})$ and corresponding number $K_i^{(1)}$, we can find polynomials in the Walsh system

$$\overline{H}_i^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{K_i^{(1)}}}^{2^{K_i^{(1)}+1}-1} \bar{a}_k W_k(x), \quad i=\overline{1,N_1} \tag{6}$$

such that

$$|\bar{a}_k| = |l|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}}, \quad \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{K_i^{(1)}}, 2^{K_i^{(1)}+1}\right), \tag{7}$$

$$\overline{H}_i^{(1)}(x) = \begin{cases} -l, & \text{for} \quad x \in \widetilde{E}_i^{(1)} \subset \Delta_i^{(1)}, \quad \left|\widetilde{E}_i^{(1)}\right| = \frac{1}{2}\left|\Delta_i^{(1)}\right|, \\ l, & \text{for} \quad x \in \widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_i^{(1)} \subset \Delta_i^{(1)}, \quad \left|\widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_i^{(1)}\right| = \frac{1}{2}\left|\Delta_i^{(1)}\right|, \\ 0, & \text{for} \quad x \notin \Delta_i^{(1)}. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Hence, by denoting

$$H_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \overline{H}_i^{(1)}(x), \tag{9}$$

we get

$$H_1(x) = \begin{cases} -l, & \text{for} \quad x \in \widetilde{E}_1 \subset \Delta, \quad \left|\widetilde{E}_1\right| = \frac{|\Delta|}{2}, \\ l, & \text{for} \quad x \in \Delta \setminus \widetilde{E}_1, \\ 0, & \text{for} \quad x \notin \Delta. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

As the polynomial $\overline{H}_i^{(1)}(x)$ is a linear combination of Walsh functions from $K_i^{(1)}$ group, it is clear, that the set $\widetilde{E}_1$ can be presented as a union of certain N_2 number of disjoint dyadic intervals

$$\widetilde{E}_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_2} \Delta_i^{(2)}$$

with measure $\left|\Delta_i^{(2)}\right| = 2^{-K_{N_1}^{(1)}-1}$, $i=\overline{1,N_2}$.

By defining

$$E_1 = \Delta \setminus \widetilde{E}_1 \tag{11}$$

and

$$(12)\qquad \begin{cases} \overline{a}_k = |l|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}}, \quad \text{when} \quad k\in\left[2^{K_{i-1}^{(1)}+1},2^{K_i^{(1)}}\right), \quad i\in[1,N_1], \\ \overline{\delta}_k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when} \quad k\in\left[2^{K_{i-1}^{(1)}+1},2^{K_i^{(1)}}\right) \\ 1, & \text{when} \quad k\in\left[2^{K_i^{(1)}},2^{K_i^{(1)}+1}\right) \end{cases}, \quad i\in[1,N_1], \\ a_k=|\overline{a}_k|, \quad \delta_k=\overline{\delta}_k\cdot\frac{\overline{a}_k}{|\overline{a}_k|}, \quad \text{when} \quad k\in\left[2^{n_0},2^{K_{N_1}^{(1)}+1}\right), \end{cases}$$

let us verify that the set E_1 and polynomials

$$P_1(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{K_{N_1}^{(1)}+1}-1} a_k W_k(x),$$

$$H_1(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{K_{N_1}^{(1)}+1}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k=0,\pm 1,$$

satisfy all statements of lemma 2 for $q=1$. Indeed, by using (10) and (11) we obtain $|E_1|=(1-2^{-1})|\Delta|$. The statement 1) follows from (5), (7), (12) and from monotonicity of numbers $K_i^{(1)}$ $(i=\overline{1,N_1})$. The statement 2) immediately follows from (10) and (11). To prove statements 3) and 4) we present the natural number $M\in\left[2^{n_0},2^{K_{N_1}^{(1)}+1}\right)$ in the form $M=2^{\overline{n}}+s,\ s\in[0,2^{\overline{n}})$, where $\overline{n}\in\left(K_{m-1}^{(1)},K_m^{(1)}\right]$ for some $m\in[1,N_1]$. Since intervals $\Delta_i^{(1)}$ $(i=\overline{1,N_1})$ are disjoint, by using (3), (6), (8)–(12) we have

$$\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\delta_k a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]} \leq \left|\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\overline{H}_i^{(1)}\right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]} + \left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{\overline{n}}}^{2^{\overline{n}}+s}\delta_k a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]} \leq$$

$$\leq ||H_1||_{L^p[0,1]} + C_p\left|\left|\overline{H}_m^{(1)}\right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]} \leq$$

$$= \left(|l|^p|E_1|+|l|^p|\widetilde{E}_1|\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C_p|l|\left|\Delta_m^{(1)}\right|^{\frac{1}{p}} < 2C|l||\Delta|^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $C=C_p+1$.

Further, for each natural number $n\in\left[n_0,K_{N_1}^{(1)}\right]$ we denote $b_n=a_k,\ k\in[2^n,2^{n+1})$ (coefficients a_k of Walsh functions from n–th group are equal in $H_1(x)$). Taking into account (1.b), (2), (4), (7), (12) and b) condition for numbers $K_i^{(1)}$ $(i=\overline{1,N_1})$, we get

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{K_{N_1}^{(1)}} b_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1}\sum_{n=K_{i-1}^{(1)}+1}^{K_i^{(1)}} b_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1}\left(K_i^{(1)}-K_{i-1}^{(1)}\right)|l|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4},$$

$$\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} \leq \sum_{n=n_0}^{\overline{n}-1} b_n + \left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{\overline{n}}}^{2^{\overline{n}}+s} a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} \leq$$

$$\le \sum_{n=n_0}^{K_{N_1}^{(1)}} b_n + \left\| \sum_{k=2^{\bar{n}}}^{2^{\bar{n}+1}-1} b_{\bar{n}} W_k \right\|_{L^2[0,1]} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + |l| 2^{-\frac{K_m^{(1)}+K_1+1}{2}} 2^{\frac{\bar{n}}{2}} < \varepsilon,$$

which proves the statement 4) of lemma 2.

Assume, that for $q > 1$ natural numbers

$$K_1^{(1)} < \cdots < K_{N_1}^{(1)} < \cdots < K_1^{(q-1)} < \cdots < K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)},$$

sets

$$\widetilde{E}_{q-1} \subset \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad E_{q-1} = \Delta \setminus \widetilde{E}_{q-1}$$

and polynomials

$$P_{q-1}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}+1}-1} a_k W_k(x),$$

$$H_{q-1}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}+1}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k = 0, \pm 1,$$

are already chosen to satisfy the conditions

a') $\frac{K_i^{(\nu)} - K_{N_{\nu-1}}^{(\nu-1)} - 1}{2}$ is a whole number $\left(K_{N_0}^{(0)} \equiv K_1\right)$,

b') $\left(K_i^{(\nu)} - K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}\right) 2^{(\nu-1)} |l| 2^{-\frac{K_i^{(\nu)} + K_{N_{\nu-1}}^{(\nu-1)} + 1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\nu+1} N_\nu}$,

c') $2^\nu |l| 2^{-\frac{K_i^{(\nu)}+1}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$,

(13) $$a_k = 2^{\nu-1} |l| 2^{-\frac{K_i^{(\nu)} + K_{N_{\nu-1}}^{(\nu-1)} + 1}{2}} \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \left[2^{K_{i-1}^{(\nu)}+1}, 2^{K_i^{(\nu)}+1}\right),$$

$$K_0^{(\nu)} \equiv \begin{cases} K_{N_{\nu-1}}^{(\nu-1)}, & \text{if} \quad \nu > 1, \\ n_0 - 1, & \text{if} \quad \nu = 1, \end{cases}$$

for any natural numbers $i \in [1, N_\nu]$ and $\nu \in [1, q-1]$. Besides,

(14) $$\sum_{n=n_0}^{K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}} b_n < \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}}, \quad \text{where} \quad b_n \equiv a_k,\ k \in [2^n, 2^{n+1}),$$

(15) $$H_{q-1}(x) = \begin{cases} -(2^{q-1}-1)l, & \text{for} \quad x \in \widetilde{E}_{q-1}, \\ l, & \text{for} \quad x \in E_{q-1}, \\ 0, & \text{for} \quad x \notin \Delta, \end{cases}$$

(16) $$\left|\widetilde{E}_{q-1}\right| = 2^{-q+1} |\Delta| \quad \text{and} \quad \left|E_{q-1}\right| = \left(1 - 2^{-q+1}\right) |\Delta|$$

and the set $\widetilde{E}_{q-1}$ can be presented as a union of certain N_q number of disjoint dyadic intervals

$$\widetilde{E}_{q-1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_q}\Delta_i^{(q)} \tag{17}$$

with measure $\left|\Delta_i^{(q)}\right|=2^{-K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}-1},\ i=\overline{1,N_q}$.

For each natural number $i\in[1,N_q]$ we choose a natural number $K_i^{(q)}>K_{i-1}^{(q)}$ $\left(K_0^{(q)}\equiv K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}\right)$ such that the following conditions hold:

$a'')\ \frac{K_i^{(q)}-K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}-1}{2}$ is a whole number,

$b'')\ \left(K_i^{(q)}-K_{i-1}^{(q)}\right)2^{(q-1)}|l|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(q)}+K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}+1}{2}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{q+1}N_q}$,

$c'')\ 2^q|l|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(q)}+1}{2}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

By successively applying lemma 1 for each interval $\Delta_i^{(q)}\subset\widetilde{E}_{q-1}$ $(i=\overline{1,N_q})$ and corresponding number $K_i^{(q)}$, we can find polynomials in the Walsh system

$$\overline{H}_i^{(q)}(x)=\sum_{k=2^{K_i^{(q)}}}^{2^{K_i^{(q)}+1}-1}\overline{a}_kW_k(x),\quad i=\overline{1,N_q}, \tag{18}$$

such that

$$|\overline{a}_k|=2^{q-1}|l|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(q)}+K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}+1}{2}},\quad \text{when}\quad k\in\left[2^{K_i^{(q)}},2^{K_i^{(q)}+1}\right), \tag{19}$$

$$\overline{H}_i^{(q)}(x)=\begin{cases}-2^{q-1}l, & \text{for}\quad x\in\widetilde{E}_i^{\,(q)}\subset\Delta_i^{(q)}, \quad \left|\widetilde{E}_i^{\,(q)}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\Delta_i^{(q)}\right|,\\ 2^{q-1}l, & \text{for}\quad x\in\widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_i^{\,(q)}\subset\Delta_i^{(q)}, \quad \left|\widetilde{\widetilde{E}}_i^{\,(q)}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\Delta_i^{(q)}\right|,\\ 0, & \text{for}\quad x\notin\Delta_i^{(q)}.\end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Hence, by denoting

$$H_q(x)=H_{q-1}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{N_q}\overline{H}_i^{(q)}(x) \tag{21}$$

and taking into account (15) and (17), we obtain

$$H_q(x)=\begin{cases}-(2^q-1)l, & \text{for}\quad x\in\widetilde{E}_q\subset\widetilde{E}_{q-1}, \quad \left|\widetilde{E}_q\right|=\frac{|\Delta|}{2^q},\\ l, & \text{for}\quad x\in\Delta\setminus\widetilde{E}_q,\\ 0, & \text{for}\quad x\notin\Delta.\end{cases} \tag{22}$$

Now, after defining

$$E_q = \Delta \setminus \widetilde{E}_q \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \bar{a}_k = 2^{q-1}|l|2^{-\frac{K_i^{(q)}+K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}+1}{2}}, \quad \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{K_{i-1}^{(q)}+1}, 2^{K_i^{(q)}}\right), \\ \bar{\delta}_k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{K_{i-1}^{(q)}+1}, 2^{K_i^{(q)}}\right), \\ 1, & \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{K_i^{(q)}}, 2^{K_i^{(q)}+1}\right), \end{cases} \quad i \in [1, N_q], \\ a_k = |\bar{a}_k|, \quad \delta_k = \bar{\delta}_k \cdot \frac{\bar{a}_k}{|\bar{a}_k|}, \quad \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{n_0}, 2^{K_{N_q}^{(q)}+1}\right), \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

let us verify that the set E_q and polynomials

$$P_q(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_q}-1} a_k W_k(x),$$

$$H_q(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_q}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k = 0, \pm 1,$$

where $n_q \equiv K_{N_q}^{(q)} + 1$, satisfy all statements of lemma 2. Indeed, from (22) and (23) it follows that $\left|E_q\right| = (1-2^{-q})|\Delta|$. The statement 1) follows from (5), (13), (19), (24) and from monotonicity of numbers $K_i^{(\nu)},\ i \in [1, N_\nu],\ \nu \in [1, q]$. The statement 2) immediately follows from (22) and (23). To prove statements 3) and 4) we present the natural number $M \in [2^{n_0}, 2^{n_q})$ in the form $M = 2^{\bar{n}} + s,\ s \in [0, 2^{\bar{n}})$. Let us consider only the case when $\bar{n} \in \left(K_{N_{q-1}}^{(q-1)}, K_{N_q}^{(q)}\right]$, since all other cases are under consideration in previous steps of induction. Let $\bar{n} \in \left(K_{m-1}^{(q)}, K_m^{(q)}\right]$ for some $m \in [1, N_q]$. From (3), (15)–(18), (20) and (24) we have

$$\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]} \le \left|\left|H_{q-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \overline{H}_i^{(q)}\right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]} +$$

$$+ \left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{\bar{n}}}^{2^{\bar{n}}+s} \delta_k a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]} \le ||H_q||_{L^p[0,1]} + C_p \left|\left|\overline{H}_m^{(q)}\right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]} <$$

$$< \left(|l|^p \left|E_q\right| + 2^{pq}|l|^p \left|\widetilde{E}_q\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C_p 2^{q-1}|l| \left|\Delta_m^{(q)}\right|^{\frac{1}{p}} < 2^q C |l| |\Delta|^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$(C = C_p + 1)$, which proves the statement 3).

Further, for each natural number $n \in \left[n_0, K_{N_q}^{(q)}\right]$ we denote

$$b_n \equiv a_k, \quad \text{when} \quad k \in [2^n, 2^{n+1}).$$

Taking into account (1.b), (2), (14), (19), (24), c') condition for number $K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}}$ and b'') condition for numbers $K^{(q)}_i (i = \overline{1, N_q})$ we get

$$\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} \leq \sum_{n=n_0}^{\bar{n}-1} b_n + \left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{\bar{n}}}^{2^{\bar{n}}+s} a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=n_0}^{K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}}} b_n + \sum_{i=1}^{N_q} \sum_{n=K^{(q)}_{i-1}+1}^{K^{(q)}_i} b_n + \left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{\bar{n}}}^{2^{\bar{n}+1}-1} b_{\bar{n}} W_k\right|\right|_{L^2[0,1]} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_q} (K^{(q)}_i - K^{(q)}_{i-1}) 2^{q-1} |l| 2^{-\frac{K^{(q)}_i + K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}} + 1}{2}} +$$

$$+ 2^{q-1} |l| 2^{-\frac{K^{(q)}_m + K^{(q-1)}_{N_{q-1}} + 1}{2}} 2^{\frac{\bar{n}}{2}} < \varepsilon,$$

which proves the statement 4).

Lemma 2 is proved.

Lemma 3. *Let numbers $p_0 > 1,\ n_0 \in \mathbb{N},\ 0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and polynomial $f(x) \not\equiv 0$ in the Walsh system be given. Then one can find a measurable set E_ε with measure $|E_\varepsilon| > 1 - \varepsilon$ and polynomials*

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^n - 1} a_k W_k(x),$$

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^n - 1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k = 0, \pm 1,$$

in the Walsh system, which satisfies the following conditions:

1) $$0 < a_{k+1} < a_k < \varepsilon, \quad k \in [2^{n_0}, 2^n - 1),$$

2) $$||f(x) - H(x)||_{L^{p_0}(E_\varepsilon)} < \varepsilon,$$

3) $$\max_{2^{n_0} \leq M < 2^n} \left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k(x)\right|\right|_{L^p(e)} < ||f(x)||_{L^p(e)} + \varepsilon$$

for any measurable set $e \subseteq E_\varepsilon$ and $p \in [1, p_0]$,

4) $$\max_{2^{n_0} \leq M < 2^n} \left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} a_k W_k(x)\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof of lemma 3. We choose natural number q, so that

$$2^{-q} < \varepsilon, \tag{25}$$

and present the function $f(x)$ in the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} l_j \chi_{\Delta_j}(x),$$

where $l_j \neq 0,\ j = \overline{1,\nu_0}$, and $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^{\nu_0}$ are disjoint dyadic subintervals of the section $[0,1]$. Without loss of generality we can assume that all these intervals have the same length and are small enough to provide the condition

$$\max_{1\le j\le \nu_0} \left\{2^q C|l_j|\left|\Delta_j\right|^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{26}$$

By successively applying lemma 2 for each interval $\Delta_j,\ j = \overline{1,\nu_0}$, and taking into account (25) and (26), we can find sets $E_q^{(j)} \subset \Delta_j$ with measure

$$\left|E_q^{(j)}\right| = (1-2^{-q})\left|\Delta_j\right| > (1-\varepsilon)\left|\Delta_j\right| \tag{27}$$

and polynomials

$$\bar{P}_q^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_j-1}}^{2^{n_j}-1} \bar{a}_k^{(j)} W_k(x),$$

$$\bar{H}_q^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_j-1}}^{2^{n_j}-1} \delta_k^{(j)} \bar{a}_k^{(j)} W_k(x), \quad \delta_k^{(j)} = 0, \pm 1,$$

in the Walsh system, so that $\bar{H}_q^{(j)}(x) = 0$ outside Δ_j,

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \bar{a}_{k+1}^{(1)} \le \bar{a}_k^{(1)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, & \text{for all} \quad k \in [2^{n_0}, 2^{n_1}-1), \\ 0 < \bar{a}_{k+1}^{(j)} \le \bar{a}_k^{(j)} < \bar{a}_{2^{n_j-1}-1}^{(j-1)}, & \text{for all} \quad k \in [2^{n_{j-1}}, 2^{n_j}-1), \quad j > 1, \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

$$\left|\left| l_j \chi_{\Delta_j} - \bar{H}_q^{(j)} \right|\right|_{L^{p_0}\left(E_q^{(j)}\right)} = 0, \tag{29}$$

$$\max_{2^{n_j-1}\le M<2^{n_j}} \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_j-1}}^{M} \delta_k^{(j)} \bar{a}_k^{(j)} W_k \right|\right|_{L^{p_0}[0,1]} < 2^q C|l_j|\left|\Delta_j\right|^{\frac{1}{p_0}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \tag{30}$$

$$\max_{2^{n_j-1}\le M<2^{n_j}} \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_j-1}}^{M} \bar{a}_k^{(j)} W_k \right|\right|_{L^{1}[0,1]} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+1}}. \tag{31}$$

We define a set

$$E_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu_0} E_q^{(j)} \bigcup \left([0,1] / \cup_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \Delta_j\right) \tag{32}$$

and polynomials

$$\bar{P}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \bar{P}_q^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n\nu_0}-1} \bar{a}_k W_k(x),$$

$$\bar{H}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \bar{H}_q^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n\nu_0}-1} \delta_k \bar{a}_k W_k(x),$$

where $\bar{a}_k = \bar{a}_k^{(j)}$ and $\delta_k = \delta_k^{(j)}$, when $k \in [2^{n_{j-1}}, 2^{n_j})$. Note that $\bar{H}_q^{(j)} = 0$ on the set $[0,1]/\cup_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \Delta_j$ (in case it is not empty) for any $j \in [1,\nu_0]$.

From (27)–(29) and (32) it follows that

$$\left|E_\varepsilon\right| > 1-\varepsilon,$$

$$0 < \bar{a}_{k+1} \leq \bar{a}_k < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \text{when} \quad k \in [2^{n_0}, 2^{n\nu_0}-1), \tag{33}$$

$$||f-\bar{H}||_{L^{p_0}(E_\varepsilon)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \left|\left| l_j \chi_{\Delta_j} - \bar{H}_q^{(j)} \right|\right|_{L^{p_0}\left(E_q^{(j)}\right)} = 0. \tag{34}$$

Further, let M be a natural number from $[2^{n_0}, 2^{n\nu_0})$. Then $M \in [2^{n_{m-1}}, 2^{n_m})$ for some $m \in [1,\nu_0]$. Taking into account (29), (30) and (32), for any measurable set $e \subseteq E_\varepsilon$ and $p \in [1,p_0]$ we have

$$\left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} \delta_k \bar{a}_k W_k \right|\right|_{L^p(e)} \leq \tag{35}$$

$$\leq \left|\left| \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \bar{H}_q^{(j)} \right|\right|_{L^p(e)} + \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k \right|\right|_{L^p(e)} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left|\left| l_j \chi_{\Delta_j} - \bar{H}_q^{(j)} \right|\right|_{L^{p_0}\left(E_q^{(j)}\right)} + \left|\left| \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} l_j \chi_{\Delta_j} \right|\right|_{L^p(e)} +$$

$$+ \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k \right|\right|_{L^{p_0}[0,1]} < ||f||_{L^p(e)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and, by using (31), we obtain

$$\left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} \bar{a}_k W_k \right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_0} \max_{2^{n_{j-1}} \leq N < 2^{n_j}} \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_{j-1}}}^{N} \bar{a}_k^{(j)} W_k \right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{36}$$

Hence, polynomials $\bar{P}(x)$ and $\bar{H}(x)$ satisfy all statements of lemma 3 except for 1). To have strict inequalities between coefficients we choose such a natural number N_0 that

$$2^{-N_0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{37}$$

and define polynomials

$$P(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_{\nu_0}}-1} a_k W_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad H(x)=\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_{\nu_0}}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x),$$

where

$$a_k=\bar{a}_k+2^{-(N_0+k)}. \tag{38}$$

It is not hard to verify that polynomials $P(x)$ and $H(x)$ satisfy all statements of lemma 3. Indeed, the statement 1) immediately follows from (33), (37) and (38). Further, considering (34)–(38) for each natural number $M\in[2^{n_0},2^{n_{\nu_0}})$, measurable set $e\subseteq E_\varepsilon$ and $p\in[1,p_0]$ we get

$$||f-H||_{L^{p_0}(E_\varepsilon)}\le||f-\bar{H}||_{L^{p_0}(E_\varepsilon)}+\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_{\nu_0}}-1}\delta_k 2^{-(N_0+k)}W_k\right|\right|_{L^{p_0}[0,1]}\le$$

$$\le\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_{\nu_0}}-1}\left|\left|\delta_k 2^{-(N_0+k)}W_k\right|\right|_{L^{p_0}[0,1]}<2^{-N_0}<\varepsilon,$$

$$\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\delta_k a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^p(e)}\le\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\delta_k \bar{a}_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^p(e)}+\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\delta_k 2^{-(N_0+k)}W_k\right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]}\le$$

$$||f||_{L^p(e)}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\left|\left|\delta_k 2^{-(N_0+k)}W_k\right|\right|_{L^p[0,1]}<||f||_{L^p(e)}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+2^{-N_0}<||f||_{L^p(e)}+\varepsilon$$

and

$$\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]}\le\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\bar{a}_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]}+\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M}\left|\left|2^{-(N_0+k)}\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]}<$$

$$<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+2^{-N_0}<\varepsilon.$$

Lemma 3 is proved.

Now with help of Lemma 3 we will prove the main lemma of the paper, which is used in the proof of theorem.

Lemma 4. *For any $\delta \in (0,1)$ there exist a weight function $0 < \mu(x) \leq 1$, with $|\{x \in [0,1];\ \mu(x) = 1\}| > 1 - \delta$, so that for any numbers $p_0 > 1$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and polynomial $f(x) \not\equiv 0$ in the Walsh system, one can find polynomials in the Walsh system*

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^n-1} a_k W_k(x),$$

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^n-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k = 0, \pm 1,$$

satisfying the following conditions:

1) $$0 < a_{k+1} < a_k < \varepsilon, \quad k \in [2^{n_0}, 2^n - 1),$$

2) $$||f - H||_{L^{p_0}_\mu[0,1]} < \varepsilon,$$

3) $$\max_{2^{n_0} \leq M < 2^n} \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k \right|\right|_{L^p_\mu[0,1]} < 2||f||_{L^p_\mu[0,1]} + \varepsilon, \quad \forall p \in [1, p_0],$$

4) $$\max_{2^{n_0} \leq M < 2^n} \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} a_k W_k \right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof of Lemma 4. Let $p_m \nearrow +\infty$, $\delta \in (0,1)$, $N_0 = 1$ and

$$\{f_m(x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty},\ x \in [0,1]\ , \tag{39}$$

be a sequence of all polynomials in the Walsh system with rational coefficients. By successively applying lemma 3, one can find sets $E_m \subset [0,1]$ and polynomials in the Walsh system of the form

$$P_m(x) = \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{2^{N_m}-1} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x), \tag{40}$$

$$H_m(x) = \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{2^{N_m}-1} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x), \quad \delta_k^{(m)} = 0, \pm 1, \tag{41}$$

which satisfy the following conditions for any natural number m:

$$|E_m| > 1 - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}, \tag{42}$$

$$0 < a_{k+1}^{(m)} < a_k^{(m)} < \frac{1}{4^{N_{m-1}}}, \quad k \in [2^{N_{m-1}}, 2^{N_m} - 1), \tag{43}$$

$$||f_m - H_m||_{L^{p_m}(E_m)} < \frac{1}{2^{m+2}}, \tag{44}$$

$$\max_{2^{N_{m-1}} \le M < 2^{N_m}} \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k \right|\right|_{L^p(e)} < ||f_m||_{L^p(e)} + \frac{1}{2^{m+2}}, \tag{45}$$

for any measurable set $e \subseteq E_m$ and $p \in [1, p_m]$, and

$$\max_{2^{N_{m-1}} \le M < 2^{N_m}} \left|\left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} a_k^{(m)} W_k \right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} < \frac{1}{2^{m+2}}. \tag{46}$$

We set

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_n = \bigcap\limits_{m=n}^{+\infty} E_m, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ E = \Omega_{\widetilde{n}} = \bigcap\limits_{m=\widetilde{n}}^{+\infty} E_m, \quad \widetilde{n} = [\log_{1/2} \delta] + 1, \\ B = \Omega_{\widetilde{n}} \bigcup \left(\bigcup\limits_{n=\widetilde{n}+1}^{+\infty} \Omega_n \setminus \Omega_{n-1} \right). \end{cases} \tag{47}$$

It is clear (see (42) and (47)) that

$$|B| = 1, \quad |E| > 1 - \delta.$$

We define a function $\mu(x)$ in the following way:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in E \cup ([0,1] \setminus B), \\ \mu_n, & x \in \Omega_n \setminus \Omega_{n-1},\ n \ge \widetilde{n}+1, \end{cases} \tag{48}$$

where

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{2^{p_n(n+2)}} \cdot \left[\prod_{m=1}^{n} h_m \right]^{-1}, \tag{49}$$

$$h_m = \max_{1 \le p \le p_m} \left(1 + \int_0^1 |f_m(x)|^p dx + \max_{2^{N_{m-1}} \le M < 2^{N_m}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x) \right|^p dx \right).$$

It follows from (47)–(49) that for all $m \ge \widetilde{n}$

$$\int_{[0,1]\setminus\Omega_m} |H_m(x)|^{p_m} \mu(x) dx = \sum_{n=m+1}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega_n \setminus \Omega_{n-1}} |H_m(x)|^{p_m} \mu_n dx \right) < \tag{50}$$

$$< \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{p_n(n+2)} h_m} \left(\int_0^1 |H_m(x)|^{p_m} dx \right) < \frac{1}{2^{p_m(m+2)}}.$$

In a similar way for all $m\geq\widetilde{n}$, $M\in[2^{N_{m-1}},2^{N_m})$ and $p\in[1,p_m]$ we have

$$\int_{[0,1]\setminus\Omega_m}|f_m(x)|^{p_m}\mu(x)dx<\frac{1}{2^{p_m(m+2)}} \tag{51}$$

and

$$\int_{[0,1]\setminus\Omega_m}\left|\sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M}\delta_k^{(m)}a_k^{(m)}W_k(x)\right|^p\mu(x)dx<\frac{1}{2^{p(m+2)}}. \tag{52}$$

Since $\Omega_m\subset E_m$, by using conditions (44), (47)–(51) and Jensen's inequality, for all $m\geq\widetilde{n}$ we obtain

$$\int_0^1|f_m(x)-H_m(x)|^{p_m}\mu(x)dx=\int_{\Omega_m}|f_m(x)-H_m(x)|^{p_m}\mu(x)dx+$$

$$+\int_{[0,1]\setminus\Omega_m}|f_m(x)-H_m(x)|^{p_m}\mu(x)dx<\frac{1}{2^{p_m(m+2)}}+2\cdot 2^{p_m}\frac{1}{2^{p_m(m+2)}}<\frac{1}{2^{p_m(m-1)}},$$

or

$$||f_m-H_m||_{L^{p_m}_\mu[0,1]}<\frac{1}{2^{m-1}}. \tag{53}$$

Further, taking relations (45), (47)-(49), (52) and Jensen's inequality into account for all $M\in[2^{N_{m-1}},2^{N_m})$, $p\in[1,p_m]$ and $m\geq\widetilde{n}+1$ we get

$$\int_0^1\left|\sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M}\delta_k^{(m)}a_k^{(m)}W_k(x)\right|^p\mu(x)dx=\int_{\Omega_m}\left|\sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M}\delta_k^{(m)}a_k^{(m)}W_k(x)\right|^p\mu(x)dx+$$

$$+\int_{[0,1]\setminus\Omega_m}\left|\sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M}\delta_k^{(m)}a_k^{(m)}W_k(x)\right|^p\mu(x)dx<$$

$$<\int_{\Omega_{\widetilde{n}}}\left|\sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M}\delta_k^{(m)}a_k^{(m)}W_k(x)\right|^p\mu(x)dx+$$

$$+\sum_{n=\widetilde{n}+1}^{m}\mu_n\cdot\int_{\Omega_n\setminus\Omega_{n-1}}\left|\sum_{k=2^{N_{m-1}}}^{M}\delta_k^{(m)}a_k^{(m)}W_k(x)\right|^p dx+\frac{1}{2^{p(m+2)}}<$$

$$<\left(||f_m||_{L^p(\Omega_{\widetilde{n}})}+\frac{1}{2^{m+2}}\right)^p+\sum_{n=\widetilde{n}+1}^{m}\mu_n\left(||f_m||_{L^p(\Omega_n\setminus\Omega_{n-1})}+\frac{1}{2^{m+2}}\right)^p+\frac{1}{2^{p(m+2)}}\leq$$

$$\leq 2^p\left(\int_{\Omega_{\widetilde{n}}}|f_m(x)|^p dx+\sum_{n=\widetilde{n}+1}^{m}\int_{\Omega_n\setminus\Omega_{n-1}}|f_m(x)|^p\cdot\mu_n dx\right)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2^{p(m+2)}}\left(2^p+2^p\cdot\sum_{n=\widetilde{n}+1}^{m}\mu_n+1\right)<2^p||f_m||^p_{L^p_\mu[0,1]}+\frac{1}{2^{p(m-1)}}$$

or

$$\left\| \sum_{k=2^{N_m-1}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k \right\|_{L_\mu^p[0,1]} < 2||f_m||_{L_\mu^p[0,1]} + \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}. \tag{54}$$

Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ be arbitrarily given. From the sequence (39) we choose such a function $f_{m_0}(x)$ that

$$m_0 > \max\left\{\tilde{n},\ \log_2 \frac{8}{\varepsilon}\right\}, \quad p_{m_0} > p_0, \quad 2^{N_{m_0-1}} > 2^{n_0}, \tag{55}$$

$$||f - f_{m_0}||_{L^{p_0}[0,1]} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \tag{56}$$

and for $k \in \left[2^{n_0}, 2^{N_{m_0}}\right)$ set

$$a_k = \begin{cases} a_{2^{N_{m_0-1}}}^{(m_0)} + \frac{1}{2^{k+m_0}} & \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{n_0}, 2^{N_{m_0-1}}\right) \\ a_k^{(m_0)} & \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{N_{m_0-1}}, 2^{N_{m_0}}\right), \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

$$\delta_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{n_0}, 2^{N_{m_0-1}}\right) \\ \delta_k^{(m_0)} = \pm 1, 0 & \text{when} \quad k \in \left[2^{N_{m_0-1}}, 2^{N_{m_0}}\right) \end{cases} \tag{58}$$

and

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{N_{m_0}}-1} a_k W_k(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{N_{m_0-1}}-1} a_k W_k(x) + P_{m_0}(x),$$

$$H(x) = \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{N_{m_0}}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x) = H_{m_0}(x).$$

Now it is not hard to verify that the function $\mu(x)$ and polynomials $P(x)$ and $H(x)$ satisfy all requirements of lemma 4. Indeed, statements 1)–3) immediately follow from (43), (53)–(58). Further, by using (46), (55)–(57) we obtain

$$\max_{2^{n_0} \le M < 2^{N_{m_0}}} \left\| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M} a_k W_k \right\|_{L^1[0,1]} \le \max_{2^{n_0} \le M_1 < 2^{N_{m_0-1}}} \left\| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M_1} a_k W_k \right\|_{L^1[0,1]} +$$

$$+ \max_{2^{N_{m_0-1}} \le M_2 < 2^{N_{m_0}}} \left\| \sum_{k=2^{N_{m_0-1}}}^{M_2} a_k^{(m_0)} W_k \right\|_{L^1[0,1]} < \max_{2^{n_0} \le M_1 < 2^{N_{m_0-1}}} \left\| \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M_1} a_k W_k \right\|_{L^1[0,1]} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let M_1 be an arbitrary natural number from $\left[2^{n_0}, 2^{N_{m_0-1}}\right)$. Then $M_1 \in [2^{n_1}, 2^{n_1+1})$ for some $n_1 \in [n_0, N_{m_0-1})$ and, considering (1.a), we have

$$\left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M_1} a_k W_k\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} < a^{(m_0)}_{2^{N_{m_0-1}}} \cdot \left|\left|\sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{2^{n_1}-1} W_k\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} + a^{(m_0)}_{2^{N_{m_0-1}}} \cdot 2^{n_1} +$$

$$+ \sum_{k=2^{n_0}}^{M_1} \frac{1}{2^{k+m_0}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

which proves the statement 4).

Lemma 4 is proved.

3 Proof of theorem

Let $\delta \in (0,1)$, $p_m \nearrow +\infty$,

$$\{f_m(x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty},\ x \in [0,1], \tag{59}$$

be a sequence of all polynomials in the Walsh system with rational coefficients. By applying Lemma 4, we obtain a weight function $0 < \mu(x) \leq 1$ with $|\{x \in [0,1],\ \mu(x) = 1\}| > 1 - \delta$ and polynomials in the Walsh systems

$$P_m(x) = \sum_{k=N_{m-1}}^{N_m-1} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x), \tag{60}$$

$$H_m(x) = \sum_{k=N_{m-1}}^{N_m-1} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k(x), \quad \delta_k^{(m)} = 0, \pm 1, \tag{61}$$

which satisfy the following conditions for any natural number m:

$$\begin{cases} 0 < a_{k+1}^{(1)} < a_k^{(1)}, \\ \\ 0 < a_{k+1}^{(m)} < a_k^{(m)} < \min\left\{2^{-m}, a_{N_{m-1}-1}^{(m-1)}\right\} \quad \text{for} \quad m > 1, \end{cases} \tag{62}$$

when $k \in [N_{m-1}, N_m - 1)$,

$$||f_m - H_m||_{L^{p_m}_\mu[0,1]} < 2^{-m-1}, \tag{63}$$

$$\max_{N_{m-1} \leq M < N_m} \left|\left|\sum_{k=N_{m-1}}^{M} \delta_k^{(m)} a_k^{(m)} W_k\right|\right|_{L^p_\mu[0,1]} < 2||f_m||_{L^p_\mu[0,1]} + 2^{-m}, \tag{64}$$

for any $p \in [1, p_m]$, and

$$\max_{N_{m-1} \leq M < N_m} \left|\left|\sum_{k=N_{m-1}}^{M} a_k^{(m)} W_k\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} < 2^{-m-1}. \tag{65}$$

From (60) and (65) it immediately follows that

$$\left|\left|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_m\right|\right|_{L^1[0,1]} \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} ||P_m||_{L^1[0,1]} < +\infty. \tag{66}$$

By denoting

$$P_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_0-1} a_k W_k(x), \tag{67}$$

where coefficients $a_k,\ k \in [0, N_0)$, are arbitrary monotonically decreasing positive numbers with $a_{N_0-1} > a_{N_0}^{(1)}$, we define a function $g(x)$ and a series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k W_k(x)$ as follows:

$$g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m(x), \tag{68}$$

$$a_k = a_k^{(m)},\ \text{when}\ k \in [N_{m-1}, N_m),\ m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{69}$$

and a_k are coefficients in $P_0(x)$ (see (67)), when $k \in [0, N_0)$. By using (62), (65)–(69) we conclude that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k W_k(x)$ converges to $g \in L^1[0,1]$ in $L^1[0,1]$ metric, and $a_k = \int_0^1 g(t) W_k(t)\, dt \searrow 0$.

Let $p \ge 1$ and let $f \in L_\mu^p(0,1)$. We choose such a polynomial $f_{\nu_1}(x)$ from the sequence (59), that

$$||f - f_{\nu_1}||_{L_\mu^p[0,1]} < 2^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\nu_1} > p. \tag{70}$$

By denoting

$$\delta_k = \begin{cases} \delta_k^{(\nu_1)} = \pm 1, 0, & \text{when} \quad k \in [N_{\nu_1-1}, N_{\nu_1}), \\ 0, & \text{when} \quad k \in [0, N_{\nu_1-1}), \end{cases}$$

and taking into account (61), (63), (64) and (70), we have

$$\left|\left| f - \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_1}-1} \delta_k a_k W_k \right|\right|_{L_\mu^p[0,1]} \le ||f - f_{\nu_1}||_{L_\mu^p[0,1]} + ||f_{\nu_1} - H_{\nu_1}||_{L_\mu^{p_{\nu_1}}[0,1]} <$$

$$< 2^{-2} + 2^{-\nu_1 - 1} < 2^{-1},$$

and

$$\max_{N_{\nu_1-1} \le M < N_{\nu_1}} \left|\left| \sum_{k=N_{\nu_1-1}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k \right|\right|_{L_\mu^p[0,1]} < 2||f_{\nu_1}||_{L_\mu^p[0,1]} + 2^{-\nu_1}.$$

Assume that for $q>1$ numbers $\nu_1<\nu_2<\cdots<\nu_{q-1}$ and $\{\delta_k=0,\pm 1\}_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1}$ are already chosen, so that for each natural number $j\in[1,q-1]$ the following conditions hold:

$$\delta_k=\begin{cases}\delta_k^{(\nu_j)}=0,\pm 1, & \text{when} \quad k\in[N_{\nu_j-1},N_{\nu_j}),\\ 0, & \text{when} \quad k\notin\bigcup_{j=1}^{q-1}[N_{\nu_j-1},N_{\nu_j}),\end{cases}$$

$$\left\|f-\sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_j}-1}\delta_k a_k W_k\right\|_{L^p_\mu[0,1]}<2^{-j}, \tag{71}$$

$$\max_{N_{\nu_j-1}\leq M<N_{\nu_j}}\left\|\sum_{k=N_{\nu_j-1}}^{M}\delta_k a_k W_k\right\|_{L^p_\mu[0,1]}<2||f_{\nu_j}||_{L^p_\mu[0,1]}+2^{-\nu_j}.$$

We choose a function $f_{\nu_q}(x)$ from the sequence (59) with $\nu_q>\nu_{q-1}$ so that

$$\left\|f-\sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)-f_{\nu_q}\right\|_{L^p_\mu[0,1]}<2^{-q-1}, \tag{72}$$

and define

$$\delta_k=\begin{cases}\delta_k^{(\nu_q)}=0,\pm 1, & \text{when} \quad k\in\big[N_{\nu_q-1},N_{\nu_q}\big),\\ 0, & \text{when} \quad k\notin\bigcup_{j=1}^{q}[N_{\nu_j-1},N_{\nu_j}).\end{cases} \tag{73}$$

Taking into account (61), (63), (72) and (73), we get

$$\left\|f(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_q}-1}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)\right\|_{L^p_\mu[0,1]}\leq \tag{74}$$

$$\leq\left\|f(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1}\delta_k a_k W_k(x)-f_{\nu_q}(x)\right\|_{L^p_\mu[0,1]}+\big|\big|f_{\nu_q}(x)-H_{\nu_q}(x)\big|\big|_{L^{p\nu_q}_\mu[0,1]}<$$

$$<2^{-q-1}+2^{-\nu_q-1}<2^{-q}.$$

Further, from (71) and (72) we have

$$||f_{\nu_q}||_{L^p_\mu[0,1]}<\left\|f-\sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1}\delta_k a_k W_k-f_{\nu_q}\right\|_{L^p_\mu[0,1]}+$$

$$+\left\|f-\sum_{k=0}^{N_{\nu_{q-1}}-1}\delta_k a_k W_k\right\|_{L^p_\mu[0,1]}<2^{-q-1}+2^{-q+1}<2^{-q+2}.$$

Thus, from (64) and (73) it follows that for each natural number $M \in [N_{\nu_q-1}, N_{\nu_q})$

$$\left|\left| \sum_{k=N_{\nu_q-1}}^{M} \delta_k a_k W_k \right|\right|_{L^p_\mu[0,1]} < 2||f_{\nu_q}||_{L^p_\mu[0,1]} + 2^{-\nu_q} < 2^{-q+4}. \tag{75}$$

Clearly, by using induction one can determine growing sequence of indexes $\{\nu_q\}_{q=1}^{+\infty}$ and numbers $\{\delta_k = \pm 1, 0\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$ so that conditions (73)–(75) hold for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we obtain a series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad \delta_k = 0, \pm 1, \tag{76}$$

which converges to f in $L^p_\mu[0,1]$ metric. Indeed, from (74) it follows that the subsequence $\{S_{N_{\nu_q}}(x)\}_{q=1}^{+\infty}$ of its partial sums

$$S_N(x) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \delta_k a_k W_k(x), \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

converges to f in $L^p_\mu[0,1]$ metric, and (75) provides the convergence of the whole sequence $S_N(x)$.

The theorem is proved.

References

1. G. D. Birkhoff, Démonstration d'un théoréme élémentaire sur les fonctions entiéres, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 189, 473–475 (1929)
2. S. A. Episkoposian, On the existence of universal series by Walsh system, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 38:4, 16–32 (2003)
3. S. A. Episkoposian, On the existence of universal series by trigonometric system, Journal of Functional Analysis, 230, 169–189 (2006)
4. G. G. Gevorgyan, K. A. Navasardyan, On Walsh series with monotone coefficients, Izvestiya: Mathematics, 63:1, 37–55 (1999)
5. B. I. Golubov, A. F. Efimov, V. A. Skvartsov, Series and transformations of Walsh, Nauka, Moskow (1987)
6. M. G. Grigorian, On the representation of functions by orthogonal series in weighted spaces, Studia Mathematica, 134:3, 207–216 (1999)
7. M. G. Grigorian, On orthogonal series universal in $L^p[0,1]$, $p > 0$, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 37:2, 16–29 (2002)
8. M. G. Grigorian, S. A. Episkoposian, On universal trigonometric series in weighted spaces $L^p_\mu[0,2\pi]$, East J. Approx., 5:4, 483–492 (1999)
9. M. G. Grigorian, Nonlinear Approximation by the Trigonometric System in Weighted L^p_μ Spaces, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 50:3, 128–140 (2015)
10. M. G. Grigorian, K. A. Navasardyan, On behavior of Fourier coefficients by the Walsh system, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 51:1, 3–21 (2016)
11. M. G. Grigoryan, A. A. Sargsyan, On the universal function for the class $L^p[0,1], p \in (0,1)$, Journal of Functional Analysis, 270, 3111–3133 (2016)
12. K. G. Grosse–Erdmann, Holomorphe Monster und Universelle Funktionen, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen, 176, 1–84 (1987)
13. V. I. Ivanov, Representation of functions by series in metric symmetric spaces without linear functionals, Proc. of the Steklov Institute of Math., 189, 37–85 (1990)

14. V. G. Krotov, Representation of measurable functions by series in the Faber–Schauder system, and universal series, Math. USSR-Izv., 11:1, 205–218 (1977)
15. G. R. MacLane, Sequences of derivatives and normal families, J. Analyse Math., 2, 72–87 (1952)
16. D. E. Menshov, On universal sequences of functions, [in Russian], Sbornik Math., 65:2, 272–312 (1964)
17. K. A. Navasardyan, On null-series by double Walsh system, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 29:1, 50–68 (1994)
18. K. A. Navasardyan, Series with monotone coefficients by Walsh system, Journal of Contemp. Math. Analysis, 42:5, 258–269 (2007)
19. A. M. Olevsky, About some features of Fourier series in spaces L^p $(p < 2)$, [in Russian], Sbornik Math., 77:2, 251–258 (1968)
20. A. A. Talalian, On the universal series with respect to rearrangements, [in Russian], Izv. AN. SSSR ser. Math., 24, 567–604 (1960)
21. P. L. Ul'yanov, Representation of functions by series and classes $\varphi(L)$, [in Russian], UMN, 27:2, 3–52 (1972)
22. S. M. Voronin, Theorem on "universality" of the Riemann zeta–function, Izv. AN SSSR, 39:3, 475–486 (1975); English transl. in Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, 9:3, 443-445 (1975)